UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,407	02/25/2004	Govindarajan Krishnamurthi	39700-593001US/ NC34952US	8359
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			EXAMINER	
			FARAGALLA, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pa Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/785,407		KRISHNAMURTHI ET AL.	
	Art Unit		
GEORGE ENG	2617		
	_	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revie	w filed 30 April 2009.
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revie ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-A ☐ Other:	ew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notic	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer held. The application remains under appeal because ther is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 3 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	e is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 7 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ion, or the balance of the two-month time period er is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the clain Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	n(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been he Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rema applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been hel action will be mailed. No further action is required by app	
All participants:	
(1) <u>GEORGE ENG</u> . (1)	3)
(2) <u>MICHAEL FARAGALLA</u> . (4)	4)
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	